

Cycling New Zealand Overview

Purpose: Unlocking People Potential

Vision: A thriving community across the sport of cycling

Values:

Integrity

We are honest and transparent, uncompromising in our commitment to strong moral, respectful, and ethical principles

Innovation

We constantly look at working smarter and more efficiently – seeking improvement in all we do

Excellence

We aim high in everything we do, striving to be better in all we do

Collaboration

We connect to achieve our purpose, we build trust in our relationships

WHISTLEBLOWER POLICY

Purpose

Openness, integrity, and accountability are important to Cycling New Zealand (CNZ) and to the sport and recreation sector. This policy has been developed to ensure that people who have concerns related to the organisation, or our activities, are able to raise those without fear of repercussion and with the confidence that they will be addressed.

This policy sets out the processes for reporting concerns and explains the protections available.

Scope

This Policy applies to

- (i) CNZ employees, contractors, and volunteers of CNZ, member organisations, centres/regions, clubs, and school cycling teams and programmes, affiliated to CNZ; and
- (ii) High Performance Sport New Zealand and Sport New Zealand employees or contractors operating within the sport of cycling; and
- (iii) Any other support personnel appointed or elected to CNZ teams and squads; and
- (iv) All CNZ licenced riders, including national representative and development athletes; and
- (v) Technical Officials, including coaches, commissaires and other officials involved in the sport; and
- (vi) Athletes, coaches, officials, and other personnel participating in or assisting in events recognised or sanctioned by CNZ; and
- (vii) Athletes, coaches, officials, and other personnel participating in or assisting in other activities including camps and training sessions, held or sanctioned by CNZ; and
- (viii) Spectators, parents/guardians and sponsors, who or which agree in writing (whether on a ticket, entry form or otherwise) to be bound by this policy.

What sorts of concerns should be reported?

This policy applies to any concern relating to those outlined in the scope where an individual or organisation believes either a behaviour or action from within CNZ is of concern. These concerns include, but are not limited to:

- overt or consistently poor track-side or road-side behaviour
- inappropriate behaviour within a training or competition environment
- work-related issues, which might apply to employees, contractors or volunteers
- security or health and safety
- match fixing or doping (noting that CNZ has specific policies in both areas)
- corrupt or improper use of the organisation's, or a related organisation's, money, or resources
- negligence or mismanagement by someone in the organisation or a related organisation
- Inappropriate or unlawful behaviour
- conduct intended to damage the reputation of CNZ

Process for reporting serious wrongdoing

An individual who is concerned about a particular issue related to CNZ is encouraged to disclose that to one or more of the following persons: the CEO, Board Chair, or another appropriate person within the organisation such as a member of the CNZ management.

Who the appropriate person will be depends on the nature, seriousness, and circumstances of the issue. The person the disclosure is made to should be:

- independent of the issue; and
- in a position to do something about the disclosure - whether that is to refer it to the appropriate authority for further investigation or to investigate the matter on the organisation's behalf; and
- in a position to put in place any immediate steps that might be necessary to protect the organisation, the public or individuals likely to be affected.

If there is no one within the organisation that would be appropriate to report the concern to, it may be appropriate to report it to an appropriate external authority such as High-Performance Sport New Zealand (HPSNZ) or Sport NZ. In considering whether it is appropriate to report to an external authority, individuals should consider whether:

- the issue is sufficiently serious to involve an external authority; and/or
- it is necessary to report the issue to an external authority because individuals high up in the organisation are or may be involved; and/or
- immediate referral to an external authority is necessary because of urgency or other exceptional circumstances; and/or
- the issue has already been disclosed within the organisation but has not been addressed within a reasonable timeframe.

Protections for individuals reporting concerns

Individuals who report concerns in good faith and in accordance with this policy can expect:

- their disclosure to be treated in confidence by CNZ if they so request, to the extent that maintaining confidentiality is possible and appropriate; and
- their identity to be kept confidential if they so request, to the extent that is possible and appropriate; and
- to be advised if their disclosure or identity is unable to be kept confidential and to understand the reasons why (information may have to be disclosed for instance to properly investigate and address the disclosure); and

- to be protected by the organisation from repercussions or victimisation as a result of having made the disclosure.

Responsibilities for individuals reporting concerns

Where allegations of wrongdoing are involved, particular care should be taken. They can be very damaging for an organisation or individuals if not handled responsibly. This is especially important where the individuals concerned have not had an opportunity to respond or the individual reporting the wrongdoing does not know the full facts.

Our expectations of individuals who report concerns are that they will:

- do so in good faith – honestly and for genuine reasons; and
- use their judgment and discretion; and
- advise any concerns they have about making the disclosure or their identity being disclosed; and
- cooperate with any investigation into the disclosure, and provide further information where that is available and requested by the organisation; and
- alert the organisation promptly if they think they have been subjected to retaliatory treatment or victimisation because of the disclosure.

If an individual makes a malicious or deliberately false disclosure, we will address the matter with the individual concerned, by way of a disciplinary or other process as appropriate.

Responsibilities on receiving a report

An individual within the organisation who has concerns reported to them must ensure that appropriate steps are taken following the disclosure to address the issue. The precise steps taken will depend on the nature, seriousness and circumstances of the issue disclosed.

Our expectations of individuals who have concerns reported to them are that:

- immediate steps are taken as necessary to protect the organisation, the public and any individuals likely to be affected; and
- the disclosure is escalated as appropriate to ensure that it is addressed promptly and appropriately; and
- receipt will be acknowledged and any information as to next steps will be provided, in writing (e.g. by email), within two working days of receipt.

Following any such steps, our expectations are that:

- the disclosure will, if appropriate, be investigated promptly and fairly, in accordance with any relevant or applicable policy and procedure of the organisation; and
- if the concern is well founded, the issue is addressed promptly and appropriately, and external agencies are notified or involved where appropriate; and
- if the individual who has made the disclosure wishes the disclosure or their identity to be kept confidential, those wishes are respected to the extent possible and appropriate; and
- if the disclosure or identity of the individual who made it cannot be kept confidential, the individual is advised of that and of the reason their identity has been disclosed

No retaliatory or adverse treatment as a result of disclosure

Our expectation is that individuals who report concerns in good faith under this policy will be protected from retaliatory or adverse treatment because of the disclosure, including:

- discrimination or bias;

POLICY

- victimisation or harassment; or
- any employment disadvantage such as suspension, demotion, disciplinary action or dismissal.

These expectations apply even if it turns out that there has not in fact been any wrongdoing by a particular individual or the organisation.

Protected Disclosures Act

The Protected Disclosures Act (the Act) provides protections for employees who make disclosures under the Act about serious wrongdoing (see below) in or by their employer.

If an employee makes a protected disclosure, the Act protects the employee from retaliatory action in employment, and gives the employee immunity from civil or criminal proceedings and from any disciplinary process, by reason of having made the disclosure, provided that:

- the information is about 'serious wrongdoing' (see below) in or by the employer; and
- the employee believes on reasonable grounds the information disclosed is likely to be true; and
- the employee wishes to disclose the information so the serious wrongdoing can be investigated; and
- the employee wishes the disclosure to be protected under the Act.

The definition of serious wrongdoing in the Act includes:

- an unlawful, corrupt, or irregular use of funds or resources of a public sector organisation.
- an act, omission, or course of conduct that constitutes a serious risk to public health or public safety or the environment.
- an act, omission, or course of conduct that constitutes a serious risk to the maintenance of law, including the prevention, investigation, and detection of offences and the right to a fair trial.
- an act, omission, or course of conduct that constitutes an offence; or
- an act, omission, or course of conduct by a public official that is oppressive, improperly discriminatory, or grossly negligent, or that constitutes gross mismanagement.

If an employee wishes to make a protected disclosure under the Act, the employee should disclose the information to the CEO, People & Culture Manager, or the Board Chair.

The employee may report the serious wrongdoing to an 'appropriate authority' as defined by the Act, if the employee believes on reasonable grounds that:

- all of these individuals are or may be involved in the serious wrongdoing;
- immediate reference to an external authority is justified due to urgency or some other exceptional circumstance; or
- there has been no action in relation to the disclosure within 20 working days.

Under the Act an 'appropriate authority' includes:

- the Commissioner of Police
- the Controller and Auditor-General
- the Director of the Serious Fraud Office
- the Inspector-General of Intelligence and Security

POLICY



- an Ombudsman
- the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment
- the Independent Police Conduct Authority
- the Solicitor-General
- the State Services Commissioner
- the Health and Disability Commissioner
- the head of a public sector organisation
- a private sector body which comprises members of a particular profession or calling and which has the power to discipline its members

Breaches

Any breach of this policy, or any part of it, may result in disciplinary action under the individual's employment agreement, contract or other applicable CNZ regulations and policies.

Amendments

CNZ may, at its sole discretion, amend this policy to suit business needs at any time and will provide an update specifying any such amendments.

References

- Cycling New Zealand Anti-Doping Policy
- Cycling New Zealand Anti-Matchfixing and Sports Betting Policy
- Cycling New Zealand Conflict of Interest Policy
- Cycling New Zealand Close Personal Relationships Policy
- Cycling New Zealand Child and Vulnerable Adult Protection Policy
- Cycling New Zealand Disciplinary Policy
- Cycling New Zealand Employee Disciplinary Policy
- Cycling New Zealand Grievance & Dispute Resolution Policy

Policy Owner:	CEO		
Policy Reviewed By:	People & Culture Manager		
Date Reviewed:	September 2023	Version Number	4
Next Review Date:	September 2026		
Approved By:	Board Chairman		
Signature:			