



Review of the Track Championships Format - 4

Agenda

- Housekeeping
- Objectives
- Feedback from Centres
- Summary of considerations
- Proposal from CNZR&T
- General Discussion
- Next Steps

Housekeeping

- Muting Zoom
- Discussion to focus on high level considerations and benefits (pros and cons). Not details such as what events will be included in each programme.
- Focus on outcomes and solutions.
- Additional actions will be noted and discussed by CNZ R&T post AGM.

Objectives

Process

To establish a sustainable future for the track championships that supports the needs of riders through the age groups and performance levels.

Guiding Principles

- Provide an opportunity to connect our development pathway from the very first steps of performance, through our aspirational rangitahi to our international stars on the same stage.
- To provide each age group with an appropriate and meaningful competition opportunity
- To provide each age group with a platform to showcase their talent and achievements on a national stage.
- To provide a professionally organised event that respects all members participating at any level.
- To provide opportunities to retain more riders through the age groups.
- To respect the voluntary contribution made across the sport to support the delivery.
- To create event opportunities that will showcase the sport of track cycling to the community, sports fan and will attract national media coverage.
- To create event opportunities that supports the financial sustainability of the events through increase in participation, new sponsorship and increase spectator attendance.

How did we get to here

- How did this conversation start?
- We need to enable competition that supports our guiding principles
- What are we trying to fix? V How can we make it better?
- 14 Months of opportunity to be involved in the conversations
- Formally through 3 prior symposiums leading into today's proposal
- Feedback from Members, Centres, CNZ HP, Para Cycling and Elite Riders.

Summary of feedback

- Summary of Centre feedback received to date

Wellington – support status quo

Southland – support combine U15,U17 with Elite, Para & U19 and separate masters

Auckland – support status quo (1st) and combine U15, U17 with Elite, Para & U19 and separate masters (2nd)

Canterbury – support full combined (1st) or combine U15, U17 with Elite, Para & U19 and separate masters (2nd)

WCNI - support status quo (1st) or combine U15, U17 with Elite, Para & U19 and separate masters (2nd)

WBOP – support combine U15,U17 with Elite & U19 and separate masters *Para to be further considered

AVC – support combine U15,U17 with Elite, Para & U19 and separate masters

Para Cycling - support combine U15,U17 with Elite, Para & U19 and separate masters

CNZ HP – support combine U15,U17 with Elite, Para & U19 and separate masters

Member Survey - support combine U15,U17 with Elite, Para & U19 (1st) and separate masters then status quo (2nd)

- **All agreed a rotation must be maintained**

Key Considerations

- While not necessary broken, we need to ask ourselves – how can we keep improving what we offer as a sport to continue to grow cycling.
- The opportunity for our development riders to be provided with an aspiration and visible pathway is key for the growth of cycling through the ages and stages.
- Any change will need to provide the opportunity to review the Masters programme to support growth in participation
- Any change will need to provide the opportunity to review the competition opportunities for our domestic/amateur riders in the senior/open grade.
- The majority of respondents from the full member survey supports change
- The 3 biggest centres from a participation perspective (67% of riders) support change
- 5 out of 6 centres support change in either their first or second choice

Key Considerations Cont'd

- The continued rotation of the events is critical.
- Time (for riders, officials, team support etc) and resource (venues, facilities, financial) needs to be considered when considering change
- Para athletes are elite riders and we need to ensure they are included with their peers.
- Track Championships should be a minimum of 3 weeks (ideally 4 weeks) out from their respective Road Championships.
- Omnium/Madison champs needs to be outside of the exam window, and from a participation standpoint, we need to ensure that the timing and location supports strong fields over all levels of competition.
- Any decision may not keep everyone happy however, the current competition structure has not supported any growth in recent years. We therefore need to look at how we can enable growth at a national level with support from the regions.

Proposal from CNZR&T

CNZR&T are of the current view to endorse three championships:

- **Elite, Para, U19, U17, U15** (estimated 5 days – 2 x 4.5 hour sessions per day)
- **Masters** (Up to 3 days - currently only 12 hours of racing - initially combined with supporting events)
- **Omnium & Madison** (To consider Elite, U19, U17 & Masters? - Estimated 2 days – to include supporting events if need be)
- **Suggested rotation:**

Event	2022	2023	2024	2025
Track Nationals	Cambridge	Invercargill	Cambridge	Invercargill
Masters Track Nationals	Invercargill	Cambridge	Invercargill	Cambridge
Omnium & Madison Champs	Cambridge (2021 calendar year - Part of a 3 day Event?)	Cambridge or Invercargill (Part of 3 day Event?)	Invercargill or Cambridge (Part of 3 day Event?)	Cambridge or Invercargill (Part of 3 day Event?)

Discussion

- Feedback on proposed considerations and current CNZR&T proposal

Next Steps

- CNZR&T to reflect on feedback from this session
- Final decision made in June 2021
- Implementation of decision (2022 Champs)
- CNZR&T thank the centres and their delegations for all the input over the past 14 months.